	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/654,164	VAN SICKLE ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Gregory R. Del Cotto.	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Blake</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 April 2004</u>	Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: WO 95/07883, Knowlton et al (US 5,712,239)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to make the changes as indicated in the attached Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Much		
(Evaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)